ISOLATION OF UNSYMMETRICAL DISULPHIDES AND TRISULPHIDES AS BY-PRODUCTS IN THE COURSE OF ELECTROCHEMICAL REDUCTION OF DITHIOLE-THIONES.

M. Largeron, T. Martens and M.B. Fleury<sup>^</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique associé au C.N.R.S., Faculté de Pharmacie, 4, avenue de l'Observatoire, 75270 PARIS CEDEX 06.

Abstract - Unsymmetrical disulphides and trisulphides were obtained after electrochemical reduction of 1,2-dithiole-3-thiones in N,N dimethylformamide as by-products in addition to the major symmetrical disulphide.

In a previous paper<sup>1</sup>, we reported the electrochemical reduction of 1,2-dithiole-3-thiones (1, 2, 3) in N,N-dimethyformamide. These compounds underwent a reversible 1-electron addition affording thiyl anion radicals. These latters were subsequently converted into ring-fused thiyl radicals which dimerized. Controlled potential electrolysis afforded a convenient route to the symmetrical disulphides (4, 7, 10) which were obtained in good yield.

However, relatively little attention has been given to the by-products due to the difficulties appearing during the course of isolation i.e., especially, chromatographic analyses.

The results we report show that use of preparative  $TLC^1$  followed by reversed phase TLC (acetonitrile) permitted separation of compounds 5, 8, 11 and 6, 9, 12 as the unsymmetrical disulphides and the corresponding trisulphides (table).



The unsymmetrical disulphide electrochemically obtained<sup>3</sup> and the one isolated after reaction with thiolate ions<sup>2</sup> exhibit similar structural data (<sup>1</sup>H N.M.R., mass and U.V.-visible spectra). Therefore, we are almost undoubtedly dealing with the same kind of product.

The use of MS-MS spectrometry<sup>3</sup> as an additional technique enabled us to establish the structure of compounds <u>6</u>, <u>9</u> and <u>12</u>. In support, a strong tendency was observed for the unsymmetrical disulphide and for the trisulphide to generate the corresponding symmetrical disulphide. Similar results were previously reported in the literature<sup>4</sup>.

Although these by-products were obtained in relatively poor yield, their isolation is motivated by two reasons:

- Whereas the preparation of symmetrical trisulphides is straightforward and well documented<sup>5-7</sup>, the synthesis of unsymmetrical trisulphides is more complex<sup>4,8</sup>. Furthermore, few trisulphides have been electrochemically isolated as yet<sup>9</sup>.

- The presence of unsymmetrical disulphides and trisulphides are in agreement with the

occurrence of radical type reactions as established in the reaction pathway given in ref. 1. Table: Products and yield of controlled potential electrolysis.

Starting material	Products	yield %
$\frac{1}{R^4} = \frac{R^5}{CH_3}$	4 5 6	55 15 15
$\frac{2}{R^{4}} = \frac{R^{5}}{2^{-pyridyl}}$ $\frac{2}{R^{4}} = \frac{2^{-pyridyl}}{2^{H_{5}}}$	7 <u>8</u> 9	65 5 10
$\frac{3}{R^4} = \frac{R^5}{CH_3}$	<u>10</u> <u>11</u> <u>12</u>	55 5 10

## References and notes

1 - M. Largeron, T. Martens and M.B. Fleury, J. Electroanal. Chem., 252, (1988), 99. 2 - M. Largeron, T. Martens and M.B. Fleury, Tetrahedron, 43, (1987), 3421. 3 - Mass spectrum of 5 (E.I.): m/z = 255 (M<sup>+</sup>); m/z = 208 (M - SCH<sub>3</sub>) 100%; m/z = 193 $(M - SCH_2 - CH_2)$ . <sup>1</sup>H N.M.R., 270 MHz,  $CDC1_3$ ,  $\delta$ : 2.20, 2.50, 2.55 {s, 3H,  $SCH_3$  (1),  $CH_3$  (2),  $SCH_3$  (3)}, 6.75 {ddd, 1H, H (6),  $J(H_6-H_7) = 7$  Hz,  $J(H_6-H_5) = 7$  Hz,  $J(H_6-H_8) = 1$  Hz}, 7.00 {ddd, 1H, H (7),  $J(H_7-H_6) = 7 Hz$ ,  $J(H_7-H_8) = 9 Hz$ ,  $J(H_7-H_5) = 1 Hz$ , 7.75 {dd, 1H, H (8),  $J(H_8-H_7)$ = 9 Hz,  $J(H_g-H_{\kappa}) = 1$  Hz}, 8.45 {dd, 1H, H (5),  $J(H_5-H_6) = 7$  Hz,  $J(H_5-H_7) = 1$  Hz}. Mass spectrum of <u>6</u> (E.I.): m/z = 287 (M<sup>+</sup>); m/z = 240 (M - SCH<sub>3</sub>); m/z = 208 (M - $\{S - SCH_3\}$ ) 100%; m/z = 193 (M -  $\{S - SCH_3\}$  - CH<sub>3</sub>). MS-MS: daughters of 287: m/z = 208daughters of 208: m/z = 193 (208 -  $CH_3$ ); 175 (208 - SH). 'H N.M.R., 270 MHz, CDCl<sub>2</sub>, δ: 2.20, 2.50, 2.60 {s, 3H, SCH<sub>2</sub> (1), CH<sub>2</sub> (2), SCH<sub>2</sub> (3)}, 6.75 {ddd, 1H, H (6),  $J(H_6-H_7) = 7$  Hz,  $J(H_6-H_5) = 7$  Hz,  $J(H_6-H_8) = 1$  Hz}, 7.00 {ddd, 1H, H (7),  $J(H_7-H_6) = 7$  Hz,  $J(H_7-H_8) = 9$  Hz,  $J(H_7-H_5) = 1$  Hz}, 7.80 {dd, 1H, H(8),  $J(H_8-H_7)$  $= 9 \text{ Hz}, J(H_8-H_6) = 1 \text{ Hz}, 8.45 \text{ [dd, 1H, H (5), } J(H_5-H_6) = 7 \text{ Hz}, J(H_5-H_7) = 1 \text{ Hz}.$ 4 - P.K. Singh, L. Field and B.J. Sweetman, J. Org. Chem., 53, (1988), 2608. 5 - D.N. Harpp, D.K. Ash, T.G. Back, J.G. Gleason, B.A. Orwig and W.F. Vanhorn, Tetrahedron Lett., 41, (1970), 3551. 6 - K. Sirakawa, O. Aki, T. Tsujikawa and T. Tsuda, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 18, (1970), 235. 7 - A.L. Schroll and G. Barany, J. Org. Chem., 51, (1986), 1866. 8 - A.W. Mott and G. Barany, Synthesis, 8, (1984), 657. 9 - Q.N. Porter, J.H.P. Utley, P.D. Machion, V.L. Pardini, P.R. Schumacher and H. Viertler, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 1, (1984), 973.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Dr. B. MONEGIER DU SORBIER from RHONE-POULENC for mass spectra and fruitful discussions.

(Received in France 24 November 1988)